

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,082	03/19/2004	Chiaki Tanaka	250580US0	7037
22850	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GOODROW, JOHN L	
	0 DUKE STREET EXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,		1756	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/804,082	TANAKA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John L. Goodrow	1756		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according according and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
			7,000,000,000,000		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/04,2/05,11/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,082

Art Unit: 1756

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103* 

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al [20030165760] in view of Sawada et al [20030129518]. Applicants' claims 1-37 are to a toner that is a product by process. The toner has two polyesters and a colorant "wherein the toner particles are obtained by the process". Applicants' attention is to the CAFC Smithkline v Apotex 04-1522, 2/24/2006 that the process steps in a product-by-process claim do serve as claim limitations. Claims 38-42 are to process of making, developers, a container for the toner and an image forming process. Higuchi et al discloses a toner, a colorant, a releasing agent (wax), a non-linear resin and a linear resin. Useful resins are polyesters [0038]. Sphericity [0119]-[0121], external additives [01125], waxes [0085] and known methods of making the toner [0123] are taught however the crystalline polyester is not taught as one of the polyesters. Sawada et al teaches the use of crystalline polyester with a second polyester [0055]. Low temperature fixation properties can be improved by using a crystalline polyester resin

Art Unit: 1756

[0024]. When a crystalline polyester is used in conjunction with another non-crystalline polyester having a higher softening point than the crystalline polyester, the two polyesters form discrete domains such as sea-islands structure so that properties inherent to the two types of the polyesters can be suitably exhibited. An additional resin is disclosed as an optional component for the binder resin [0067]. The crystalline polyester has a repeating unit and other monomers, an acid value of from 20 to 45mg KOH/g and a hydroxyl value of 5 to 50mg KOH/g [0049] a Mw of 5500 to 6500 [0041] a softening point of 80 to 125°C [0044]. The toner can be used as a one-component developer or as a two-component developer [0093]. The method of forming an image [0096]-[0099]. The container i.e. process cartridge is taught by claim 25 and 27. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention with a reasonable expectation of success to use the binders in combination in a toner to obtain the physical properties that the combination of crystalline, linear and non-linear polyesters have shown by the prior art as three of the binders for the toner.

## **Double Patenting**

2. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/706113. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim the combination of crystalline polyester and modified polyesters. Applicants' attention is to the resin as taught in [0083].

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,082

Art Unit: 1756

3. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/444013. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim a crystalline and modified polyester as a binder for a toner note [0101].

Page 4

4. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Goodrow whose telephone number is 571-272-1384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,082

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John L Goodrow

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

\*\*\*